G. R. & I. \ 5]

Previous references.

File No. 26

192

Serial Nos.

( )

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

WD 2275—GPB—20,000—14 6·20

S. 11] 1912.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 365-12

FILE HEADING.

Collection of only Local cess on S. no. 21 of godi Immalapura village, Tumkur Tq, belonging to Sri Vyasaraya Swamy mth.

From

The Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt

No. 269 Dated 17.12.1912 Received

Diary No. 1031	Enclos. —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he has issued orders to transfer the khata of the land to the matt and for the collection of only the local cess on the lands in question.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Inwards No 217-11 is herewith submitted.

The Swamy of Sri Vyasarayaswamy matt having prayed that the grant of S No 21 of godi Immalapura village in the Tumkur Tq by one of his gurus to one Aswathacharya

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—15,000 1-6-12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.

of Tumkur might be recognized Govt directed in their order no. — 2985-8 Dy 13-6-1912, that if the present representative of the alience would forfeit restore the land to the Khata of the matt, the same might be accepted and only local fund levied as on all lands belonging to the matt

2 The Dy Commr reports that the present representative of the alience stated before the Amildar that he had no objection to restore his land to the Khata of the matt provided its enjoyment was vested in his family in hereditary succession, and that he has issued orders for the transfer of the Khata being effected and for the Collection of only the local cess on the lands in question —

Submit for orders

AV Clby 7/15

3 Call for the Ter. records The acceptance of Venkatramanaiah is conditional and practically means the dispossession of the Matt lands from the ownership of the Matt. Pl...

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P— 65,000— 17-6-12.

Serial No.	
	is no reason why he should obtain a rescission of assignment. Rec 6.6.13
4	Submd. with a Dft docket calling for the Vern. records SV(1329) 6/6/13
	alwd &c
2	To the D.C. Trichinopoly No 2402 & 12-6-1913 Calls for the entire Vernacular records on the subject
3.	From the Dy. Commr., Trichinopoly Dy. Letter no. 123 Dy 4-9-1915 Submits the Vernacular records on the subject.
by H.	5 In his Kyphiyat Dy 16th Aug. 1912 before the Taluk Amildar, the alienee Venkataramanachar states that he has no objection to the transfer to the name of Sri Vijayarayar Swami Mutt, of the Khata of S. no. 21 of Jodi Srimushnam Village, provided its enjoyment is vested in his family in hereditary succession on payment of Local Cess.
	Submd SV(943) 15-9-15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G11—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.

6 Where is the Saimakka. The proposal was to allow the alienation during the life of the Swamiyar. Now that there has been a new succession in Kulkatavar can he have a right to enjoy the land free.

L.S.
16.9.15

7 Subject to the Hon'ble member's remarks in the present case the question was whether a gift of Mutt land by a previous Swamiyar should be recognized or not and the Hon'ble member was pleased to consider that the gift may be held valid during the life time of the ~~pres~~ Swamiyar. It is only in order however the matter appears to have been treated purely from the view point of Govt. and the alienation question was lost sight of. The Sub Court was asked that as the alienee has consented to restore for the khata to Mutt's name on condition that the enjoyment of

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.

Should vest in him hereafter, he has issued orders for the necessary transfer.

8 Now that there has been a change in the Municipality, it is a matter for consideration whether the alienation should be allowed to continue without disturbance

Ls. 11 15

9 A transfer with such a condition is not transfer. It should be resumed & added to Municipal property

16/11/15

10 Draft.

17/11/15

11 Submitted with a Off under No 1951 dt 17/11/15

12 Who is the Municipality that is responsible for the alienation

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30 4-15.

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.	
	and what is the date. (2) Has the matter right of occupancy in the other lands of the village or only the Kandayam Hak. (3) Is the present absentee enjoying occupancy right or only the right of assessment. (4) Is a copy of the document of gift available in the record. If information not be fully available, write for the 26.11.15 Same.
N.V.	3 No information is available in the records. A copy of the document has not been received. Submitted with a Dft. enquiry N(85) and 8.12.15 10/12/15
4	No: 1458 dt 11.12.15, dt, Secretary calls for further report about S. No. 21 of the Jodi Thennamadevi, Tirunelveli Dt.
5.	From, the Dy Collr, Tirunelveli Dt, Letter No. [illegible] dt 23.12.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4 15.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 365 of 191 2

Serial No

Submits information on queries 1, 3 and 4 rep. to in pages 5 and 6 of the office note, and reports that the reply from the Swami of Sri Vyasarayar Swami mutt ~~has~~ to query no. 2, has not been received & Submits bills & VP. for appl or orders

Dear 5/8/19 — 5.7.19 — 5/8/19 — 5/8/19 — Done 2.9.19

Attd 4/9/19

6 — Do — The Dy. Commr. Shimoga, by Mr.

No. 894 Dy. 6.9.1919.

Requests that as the Swamigalu is now at the head quarters definite information as to the alienation etc might be obtained from him with early

Shim. the Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dy.

letter no 1242 Dy 1-12-19

Reports that the Swami is on tour, and that the required information may be obtained from the Amildar, Narasipur Taluka.

15 As the matt is under the direct management of Mus Supt in what way may the information be got from that officer?

Submits for orders

If approved, the file will be

16 Mus

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 8/5 of 1912.

Serial No.

passed on to the Supdt Office for obtaining the req^d information from the Shrotriem Tahsildar who can obtain from the Swamigalu ~~etc~~ Mutt at Hanganeri, Chennamanayakanpalli Taluk — or the Office may write to the Swamigalu in the matter.

BG
5.1.20

No dtgr. 11/6/1

Supdt Office for nec^y action
Return with the reply when
received
BG
7.1.20

17 Submitted

Seriatha No 246 Dt 11-3-20 received from the Swamigalavaru of the Sri Vyasaraya Mutt is herewith returned for perusal

BG 17/3/20

BG
15/3/20

8 From the Swamigalu.

Seriatha No 206 Dt 1 [illegible] 18-3-20 stating that there are no records to show that [illegible] Hanumanthapuram village was granted as Sarvamanya to Pallakki Ramaraya Sastri, Guru that Mutt may have had and to [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No

Secn fr 4.

18 Attention is respectfully invited to the previous Swamis Srimukha no. 1182 dy 16-9-1911 which gave rise the present correspondence stating that the land in question, had been given to Aswathachari as Sarvamanya, and that it might be continued to his son Venkatarama Achari Submitted for orders.

19.3.20 19.3.20

19 Perused the Asst Rent Registers of Tamkur Tk — both the Current Registers owned by Inam dept. & the Muzrai Asst Rent Regr

Attd 21/3/20

20 Both the Muzrai Registers are submitted.

26/3/20 The Sent. Asst rent register is not available

21. 26/3/20 The A Copy of the Register of Inam inquiry

NOTE—Contn sheet

26/3/20

III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MU2 File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No

Relating to the settlement of Jodi Kunlapur village as Devadya dam in the matt taluka Warned for the Dam Supt.

22 The Dy. may be asked to report if the village has been damaged & settled since the dam settlement & if it has been, to ~~what extent~~ report the extent & assess on the land now in question in Dr. diffs.

AMT.
3/3/20

23 Submitted with Dfs
MU 9.4.20 AMT 12.4.20
9.4.20

Dy. to S.C. Tumkur.
No. 3612 dy 21.4.20.
Requests to be informed if the land in village has been damaged & settled since the dam settlement & if so, to what extent & assess the land now in question.

10 No. 3613 To Survey S.C.T.
Requests to send [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Dies.

I-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Irrig File No. 385 of 1912

Serial No 11

From, the Irrn Supdt
Letter no. 91 dd 12.5.20
Submits a copy of the Irrn
Regn pertaining to Jore Nimmalapura
Village in the Anekal Taluk.
24

A Remr will be sent now

RL
19.5.20

Yes - marked Urgent
AMH 28/5/20

Draft Remdr sub'd
RD
29-5-20

Irrn
AMH 30/5

[illegible]

Sanctioned

25-5-20 Survey No. 21 of Jore Nimmalapura in the Anekal Taluk endorsed to the Superintendent Irrn matter was granted by

NOTE—Contn sheet

III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.

Enamee yadava Tirthapada Ayyangar palem

one of the previous occasions one Aswathayya and the present occasion having requested Govt. in Sept. 1911 to recognise the grant and to levy only local cess from the grantee's son Venkataramanayya who has been enjoying the land, the Govt. in their order No. 2985-8/Rev 217-11-2 of 13.6.12 decided that if the present representative of the absentee would restore the land to the Khata of the mutt, the same might be accepted for only local cess levied thereon as on all lands of the mutt.

26. Venkatarāmanayya the present holder of the land has given a Muchalika of 16.8.12. before the Amildar of Srikakulam Taluk that he has no objection to hand over the land to the Khata of the mutt requesting that only local cess may be ordered to be levied from him.

provided its enjoyment was vested in his family in hereditary succession

27. The Dy Coll. reported in Dec. 1912 that orders had been issued for the rec. harāpan of the Khata No. 7 & 8 cls & for the collection of only the local Cess on the land in question

28 Further enquiries were made as regards the land, and it is gathered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Revenue Secr at.

Survey File No. 765 of 1917

Serial No.

from the papers sent up by the Dy Com^r & his reports that Survey & Sett^t has been introduced in the village and that the Extent of the land in q^s is 6 acres & 17 guntas assessed at Rs 9/-

29 The Dy Com^r requests that the papers sent up by him may be returned & as there is no issue likely to be received from the present holder of the land. (Vide Dy Com^rs letter No. 1238 & 4.9.19)

30. Submitted & whether the Com^n from the Dy Com^r may be recorded & the Govt papers returned to him for necessary action.

SA
8/10/20

31 8/10/20 Rd. The Exc Shall for decision is that passed in paras 7 & 8 of the Note.

32 In re-transferring the land to the Uhasle of the matt, the Asstt Venkatramanachar's name for the land to be confirmed in the hereditary enjoyment of his house of & his heirs in

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

761

Land Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.	
	33 The Members order therein was "A transfer with such a condition is no transfer - It should be rescinded & added to Mutt properties"
para 9	34 This order does not appear to have been complied -
	35 The point is one which calls for a definite decision - Otherwise, the Mutt will lose the Inam - The benefit of which has to be absolutely to the [illegible]
	36 With the approval of Mr T. Ananda Rau, Dewan and Mr K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar, Minister I made an order passed on 13-6-912 that if the present representative of the Mutt would restore the land to the Khata of the Mutt the same might be accepted and the local fund [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 265 of 19 12

Serial No.

Sel
Y

The Dy Comm reported on 17 Decemb 1912 that as the representative of the said alienee had agreed to the condition, he had directed the Transfer of Katha to the Transfer's name subject to the Collection of all the local cess. ~~because the [illegible]~~

This is the paper under disposal and I think it should simply be filed, the action taken by the Dy Comm being in accordance with the orders of Govt.

But after the receipt of this reference, it was considered by the that allowing the alienee's representative to enjoy the lands on the payment of cess only would amount to the recognition of an unauthorized alienation for the Transfer who was a party to the order has stated that a Transfer [illegible] Condition [illegible] I do not know if it is desirable that [illegible] two notes [illegible]

Q. And an enquiry from Miss Clarke.
G

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

761

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 365 of 19 12

12867 Serial No.

2980-219

25. 11-20

Rejected 13-6-912
Secret Memo. 4/2.6.12

Can a Swami alienate lands expressly endowed to the Institution wh. he presides for the time being? If the answer is in the affirmative the alienation will have to be rescinded. Pontcan the same tenure - Sarvamanya - be enforced in this case? The tenure was struck pretty because it was devadaya inam. If the purpose for wh the inam was made ceases to exist where is the justification for the continuance of the tenure? In case however the answer is in the negative, the whole transaction becomes null & void & the lands will have to be resumed. Before this matter is finally disposed of the case ought to be referred to the Inam Commissioner under Govt. management & publication will have to be done by the Govt.

Put
27.11.20.

AMK 27-11-20

Reference is invited to para 4 and the subsequent paras in the papers in Mur file 217 of 1911
~~The Dr~~ I would also refer to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

5-9 of Mayne Island Law. The
Gift of the land made by a former
Khan was illegal.

2 The order of 13 June
1912 is as follows:—

"If the present representative
of the absentee will restore the land
to the Kathe of the Mathra, the same
may be accepted and duly logal
fund Cess levied as on all
lands belonging to the Mathra."

Though the thing is
said in this order about possession
it was perhaps ~~also~~ intended that
the representative of the absentee should
not only restore the land to the
Kathe of the Mathra but also deliver
possession of it to the said institution.
In this view, the land may be
resumed even during the time of the order
dated 13 June 1912.

4 If on the other hand
the intention of that order was
that the representative of the
absentee ~~must~~ should be allowed to
remain in possession, paying only
local fund Cess, after dispensing

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 36 of 191

Serial No.

As far as the merits of the case, it would be tantamount to the Respondent of the alienation of a land which by law is inalienable and the said order would require to be reversed

Learned Counsel

[The Receiver Swami is indifferent about the alienation, while the Successor of the Swami who made the Dept. requested that it might be maintained.

3447-9
16.12.20

The order taken in the case referred to in Receiver file No 29-11 & 11 12 was, I think, [illegible] the principle enunciated in it regarding the aforesaid. As regards the interpretation of the order in that case, I agree with what Expressed by the Receiver Secy in para 3 of his letter above. The copy of the order will be obtained from the Tahsildar's office and perused as the reversal of a previous [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.

accepted in ch. the present case may be dealt with accordingly.

Rell
17.12.20

18/12/20

18/12/20

DD under Secy

DD.12 in

26/12/20.

Secy?

I do not think the advice will agree to deliver possession. If he should demur a further reference is sure to be made by the D Commr and then instructions for Compulsory Resumption may have to be given

Secy

27/12/20

5-1-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 365 of 1912

Serial No.	
12	To, by Commr. Tumkur Dt No. 2391, dy. 11.1.1911 Requests to take necessary further action re delivering possession of the Jodi Unicare as intended in Govt No 217-11-2-dy 13 6/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DIARY No. 1031

1912



From

The Hon'ble Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To

The Hon'ble Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Rev. Department.
(thro')

Dated 17th
Despatched 18th } December 1912.
Received

General No. 269
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwards a report regarding the levy of rely Local Cess on S. No 21 of Jodi Ramalapura village, Tumkur Taluq belonging to Sri Byresaraja Swamy Mutt.

GPE—5,76 000—6-4-12

Office of the

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Dated Tumkur, 17th December 1912.

269

18

From

C.S.Palasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,

Offg: Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

K. R. Sreenivasa Iyengar Esqr.,M.A.,

Offg: Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments , (MUZRAI),

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.2985-8/Muz:F.217-11-2 dated the 13th June 1912 regarding the levy of only local cess on Survey number 21 of jodi Timmalapura village, Tumkur Taluk, belonging to Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, I have the honor to state that as the present representative of the alienee, one Venkataramanachar, stated before the Amildar of Tumkur on the 16th September 1912 that he had no objection to restore his land to the khate of the Matha provided its enjoyment was vested in his family in hereditary succession. Orders have been issued to the Sub-Division Officer of Tumkur for the necessary transfer of the khate being effected and for the collection of only the local cess on the lands in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Offg: Deputy Commissioner,
Absent from the Station.

220

TBM.

Off. on his cess

G.R.S. 29A]

19

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The D.C., Tumkur Dist

Dated 12th June 1913

Despatched

Received

General No. 2402

Dept. No. 365-12-2

Enclosure No. -

Adverting to his letter No. 269 dy 17th Dec 1912 ~~reporting~~ regarding the levy ~~from Venkatanarasaiah~~ of only local cess on S. No. 21 of Jodi Tummalapura Village in the Tumkur Dy belonging to Sri Vyasaraja Swamy matt, has the honor to request that the entire Varam records

Submitted

kindly be submitted

for reply

By order

Dy.

for Hy. Secretary.

9.6.13



From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to Govt.,

Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Dated 4-5 September 1925.

Despatched

General No. 123.

Enclosure No., Nil 9 V P.

Forwards the entire file of papers connected with the case of levy of only local cess on S.No.21 of Jodi Timlapur village in the Tumkur Taluk.

Office of the Deputy

Commissioner,

Dated, Tumkur the September 2016

From

K. R. Srinivasamurthy, Major., M.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer, Major., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

P A L A C E.

S i r,

[illegible] No.[illegible]-[illegible]-12-2 dated the [illegible] Vernacular papers con-[illegible] Survey No.21 of [illegible], I have the honour to for-[illegible] the subject and to request [illegible] for the recovery of [illegible].

I have the honour to be

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

R. S. 26] 14/IV

Calls for further information about S no. 21 of Jodi Tirumalapura village in the Tumkur Taluk

File No. 365/12

Serial No 4-

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 1458 Emp/15

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

To

The Dy. Commissioner,

Tumkur District

Adverting to his letter no 123 Dy 4th September 1915 about the levy of only local cess on Survey No 21 of Jodi Tirumalapura village in the Tumkur Taluk alienated by one of the former Swamis of Sri Vyasaraja Swamy matha in favour of one A Sivathacharya of Tumkur,

Date of issue 14-12-1915

Signed by Mr G. Srinivasa Iyer

SUBJECT

has the honor to request
to be furnished with the information
in the points noted below:—
(1) Who is the Swami that
is responsible for the
alienation and what is the
date?
(2) Has the Matha right
of occupancy in the other
lands of the village or
only the Kandaya lands? and
(3) Is the present alienee
enjoying occupancy right
or only the right to assessment?
A copy of the document
of Sift to Aswathachar
may also be submitted.
2) The Vern. records are
herewith returned for
reference and re-submission

By order.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Dy Sr Revn. Secretary

10/12/16

GPB—25,000—8 8 11

2339 1910-20.

No. 1910
Aug 20
Meer
285-12
5

From

The Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Amritsar Division, Amritsar.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt. of ...
the Revenue Department,
Lahore.

Dated 22nd July 1920.

Despatched
Received HC. 1242 18-8-20
General No.

Enclosure. File one

Furnishing information about the levy of local cess on Survey No. 21 of Jodhamal Kot village belonging to Sri Jiwan Mal in the Amritsar District.

Office of the

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 23rd July 1919.

From

To

Sir,

It is a question whether an annulation made by a Swamiji who is no more than a Manager of the Math for the time being, is valid after his death.

produced by Venkataramanier show that the land has been in uninterrupted possession and enjoyment of the forefathers and himself for since ~~[illegible]~~ grant as Inam. Venkataramanier has stated that no deed of gift was made by the Sarvigiru.

5. The file of relinquished papers is requisitioned for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Off. Deputy Commissioner,
Tenkasi Division.

Kes.

CT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191*

Date of issue 6-9-19

Signed by .....

Section

File No. 365-12

Serial No. 6

No. 894 Encl one file

To

The Dy. Commr,

Nuwara Eliya,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 1242 dy 23rd July 1919 reporting on the gift of certain lands in Godi Kinsalapur village in the Nuwara Dalukkana belonging to Sri Vijaya Saraja Swami Nayaka, I am directed to request that ~~you will be so good as to obtain~~ definite information ~~referred to in para 3 of the letter under acknowledgement~~.

By the Swamijaka of the Vijayaraja Swami Mutt is now the Head Members

about the ~~wrong~~
alienation to the Math.

from the Swami of Sri Vyasa-
raya Swami Matt and com-
municate the same for orders
of Govt. at a very ~~early~~ date.

(2) The records are herewith
returned for reference.

Math

in the other lands
of the village, &
Govt. ~~to the same~~
at a very early
date.

Thanks etc.

M 21/9/19

(?) Secretary,
Rev. Dept.

RB
21/9/19

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB 30 000—19 3 19

1919-20.

No. 10 E.P.
9. 20
Mer
1855

From

The Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

145.9

To

The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzarai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 1st December 1919.

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

Re the further information on the alienation of S. No. 21 of Jodi Thimmlapura village of Tumkur Taluk, by the Swamigalu of Vyasaraya Matt.

Office

Tumkur Di

Dated Tumkur, the 1st December 191

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Offg. Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayaram Iyer, Esq., B.A,B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzarai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 894/Muz.365-12-6 dated 6th September 1919, calling for further information re the alienation of Survey No. 21 of Jodi Thimmlapura village of Tumkur Taluk by the Swamigalu of Vyasaraya Matt, I have the honour to state that the Amildar of Tumkur reports that the Swamigalu is reported to be absent on circuit.

2. The information required has to be got from the Swamigalu whose head quarters is Sosale in T. Narasipur Taluk. The Amildar of T. Narasipur will be able to get the information as soon as the Swamigalu returns to head quarters.

3. Under these circumstances I request that the required information may be got direct from the Amildar of T. Narasipur.

4. The vernacular file ending with the Tumkur Amildar's No. 272 dated 20th November 1919 is forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

D.N.

Dec.

G. R. S. VI—G/327

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2 DFS

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1061.....

Section Inam

File No. 365 12

Serial No. 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *19*

No. 3612 Encl

Date of issue.....21-4-20.....

Signed by..Mr A. M. dgr.....

To

The Dy Coll^r,

Nuzvid Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 1242 Dy 1-12-19 submitting further particulars on the alienation by the Swamigalu of Sri Veda Narayana Swami matt, of inam S. no 21 of Jodi Venkatapuram Village of the Nuzvid Taluk, I am directed to request you

informer if the said Village has been surveyed and settled since the Inam settlement, and if it has been, the extent and assessment on the land in question may kindly be intimated to this office.

(2) The records are herewith returned for ref. & re-Instn.

I have &c.

Asst

Dy Secretary

Mrs. Dept

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2 D65

3/12/20

Diary No. of letter replied to.. ...1061....

Section hms

File No. 281/2

Serial No. 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *19*

No. 3613

Date of issue..... ...21.4.20

Signed by.....

To

The Kachcheri Mullaitivu

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference a copy of the Registry Kachcheri enquiry relating to the settlement of Jodi Nimalapuna Village in the Mullaitivu Taluk as Devadaya Kani to Sri Vyapuri Swami

Matt at So Sale,
D. Narashpur Taluka
I have the
Matt
DCO for Secretary,
Mus Dept
26
2/4/20
12/4/20

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—80,000—19-3 19

Survey Superintendent's Office,

Bangalore dated 12 May 1960.

From

D.K. Darashah, Esq.,

Superintendent of Revenue Survey and

Settlements in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, Mudrana Department.

Sir,

In reference to your letter No. 3613/ Mu. 360-12-10 dated 21st April 1960, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the Inam settlement register of Doni Tirumalapura -- village in the Tumkur Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd/ [illegible]

Assistant Superintendent
(For Superintendent absent
from station)

Register of Inams in the village of

CLAS TENT D VALU

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acre and their tions in de
1	2	3	4	5
	Devadaya	Jamin Jagannath.g Kharchana 1805-6		
	area of the village		24-11-14 — 309.57	
	deduct Kalayaka village site &c		10-0-9½ — 98.08	
	remainder		14-11-4½ — 211.43	
	cultivated dry		12-1-15 — 150.10	
	wet		2-9-9½ — 9.25	
	Banjar		7-9-12½ — 52.08	
			24-11-4½ — 211.43	Beriz g 1833 A 73-15-0
	Inam dis[illegible] g mamulgi bist g 1869			
	area of the village		30-12-14½	
	deduct Kalayaka		0-10-8	
	remainder		38-2-6½	
	dry		23-0-2½ or 279.17	
	wet		0-0-0 or 20.66	
	Banjar dry		0-0-0 or 9.26	
	wet		4-8-4 or 9.12	
			38-2-6½ or 318.21	
	The land is registered [illegible]			

in the Taluk of Tumkur

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND

Assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent. i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
		For the support of the Vijayanagara math at Sosale. The institution is in good order —	Pagodas 20 — — Rs 5-8-3 —

Annual collection

land revenue —

1858	—	90	— —
60	—	90	— —
61	—	80	— —
62	—	80	— —
63	—	90	— —
64	—	90	— —
65	—	88	— —
66	—	74	— —
67	—	90	— —
68	—	70	— —
		885	— —

Average 88-8 —

Boundaries.

East — Ellapoor boundary stones marks

west — Koramenes do do —

North — Arehene-Honnenhalli do —

South — Sathenur do —

By whom granted, and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

Poonicha
8 mo

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Vyasaraya Mutt at Soorla		Jagi accounty Fasa 1875 Vyasarayaswamiluch Beig Pagodas 26-1-0 Jari — 5-1-0 Jagi — 20-0-0 Jagi accounty Vijaya 1833 Vyasaraya Mutt Beig Pagodas 25-4-3

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving, heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	18
Vide Pymaisa ing The Manager for the time being — Subsequent alienations are noted on the reverse —			

+ Remarks

These are included inams

1. Sivagaya & Hanumanthavaru Rs 10-14-0 payable by Jagir
2. do & Narasimha Swamy Rs 10-14-0 do
3. Shanbhoga ——— 2-16-2 do
4. Do ——— 1-12-0 do
5. Do ——— 0-12-0 do

GPB.- 50 books of 2 sh'g's - 23-7-09

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

From the accounts quoted in col 14 it appears that this village was originally a Sarva Inamyam - It was resumed before 1783 and leased out for Pagodas 11-0-0. During the administration of Poorniah it was renewed fixing a Jodi of Pagodas 20/- payable by the Inamdar. An order dated 1806 is cited by the late Jongdar Linganaj but is also quoted in the accounts to the effect that the Jodi should be collected as per Poorniah's Tahseel & Continue the village to the Institution. The agent of the present Jamindars produces no sanads and pleads that they were not laid. - However, the village having been in the possession of the Inam for many years on the Jodi tenure and as the accounts also bear the validity of the Inam, I recommend it may be confirmed to the Inam on a Quit-rent of Rs 58-3-0 The existing Jodi

(Sd) C Subbarayudu
Asst
16.11.09

To be Confirmed -
Jodi Rs 58-3-0
(Sd) Illegible
21.3.10.

Confirmed on the existing Jodi Rs 58-3-0
(Sd) C. S. Iyer
Illegible
21st March 1910.

Vide also Govt. No: No: 2985-8
Inam F 217-11-2
dated 13 June 1912 directing that if the present representation of the claimant S.N.2 will restore the land to the whole of the Inam, the same may be accepted and only usual Jodi cess levied as on all lands belonging to the Inam.

25 June 1912.

(Sd) B. Rama Rao
Asst to the Inam Commr

True Copy
S.V. Krishna
Asst to the Inam Commr

21

SECTION Mer

FILE No. 365-12

SERIAL No.

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, TUMKUR.

To

The Muzrai Secretary to the Govt.,

of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Dated Tumkur, the 29th September 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. MC 942/18-19.

Enclosure No. One Note.

Hereinwith, further information regarding the alienation by the Swamiji of Sri Vyasarajaswami Matt of Inam Village No.21 of Joor Thimmalapur Village, Tumkur Taluk, as desired.

*****

Office of the

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 28th September 1920

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the --

Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.3612/Muz.365-12-9 dated the 21st April 1920 calling for additional information regarding the alienation by the Shettigalu of Sri Vyasaraja Swami Matt, of Inam Survey No.21 of Jooi Timmalapur Village, Tumkur Taluk, I have the honour to state that it is reported by the Amildar of Tumkur that Survey and Settlement has been introduced into the Inam village of Timmalapura and that according to the khatedar, the extent of land - S.No.21 in question is 6 acres and 17 guntas the assessment thereof being nine rupees.

2. The file of vernacular records on the subject is retransmitted herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Absent from Head Quarters.

File No

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

6/11/6

No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

To

The Dy Commr,

Jumkhan Dt,

Date of issue .....

Signed by.....

Sir,

In reply to your letter no Rc 1142 29 dy 11th Septr 1920, I am directed to state that though neither Sait in the month of June 1912 about possession, what was intended has not yet that the representation of the aliened [illegible]

over

SUBJECT.

restore wiam s. no 21 of yoda
Nirmalapura village, Sunkam
taluk to the khata of
Sri Laxmanna Swamimath,
but also delivery before possession
of it to the said mutt,
and to request that necessary
further action may be
taken accordingly

(2) The records are herewith

Dy 4/4/21

BA 4-1-21

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB –20 000– 10-10-14